MURCIA:

Fast parallel solvent accessible surface area calculation on GPUs and application to drug discovery and molecular visualization

Eduardo J. Cepas Quiñonero <u>Horacio Pérez-Sánchez</u>

Wolfgang Wenzel José M. Cecilia José M. García

Parallel Computer Architecture Group University of Murcia (Spain)

http://www.um.es/gacop



NETTAB 2011 workshop focused on Clinical Bioinformatics, October 12-14, 2011, Pavia, Italy

INTRODUCTION

METHODOLOGY

RESULTS

CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

Drug Discovery process



Methods for ligand and multiple target database screening:

Screening in laboratory:

- Automatized,
- but expensive
- and time-consuming





Virtual Screening

Search for leadsAs pre-stage for exp. tests



Methods for ligand and multiple target database

Definition of Virtual Screening

Use of *high-performance computing* to analyze large databases of chemical compounds (**tenths of millions or even more!!!**) in order to identify possible drug candidates.

W.P. Walters, M.T. Stahl and M.A. Murcko, "Virtual Screening-An Overview", *Drug Discovery Today*, 3, 160-178 (1998))

Our proposal

Use GPUs instead of Supercomputers to overcome computational bottlenecks from Virtual Screening calculations, ongoing trend in bioinformatics

<u>Horacio Pérez-Sánchez</u> and Wolfgang Wenzel. "Optimization methods for virtual screening on novel computational architectures". *Current Computer-Aided Drug Design*, 7(1):44–52, 2011.

G.D. Guerrero, <u>H. Pérez-Sánchez</u>, J.M. Cecilia, J.M. García, (2011) "Parallelization of Virtual Screening in Drug Discovery on Massively Parallel Architectures" (submitted).

<u>H. Pérez-Sánchez</u>, G. D. Guerrero, I. Sánchez-Linares, J. M. Cecilia, J. M. García, I. Martínez-Martínez, J. Navarro-Fernández, V. Vicente-García, J. Corral, I. Meliciani and W. Wenzel. "High Throughput Virtual Screening against flexible protein receptors; implementation on GPUs and application to the discovery of novel scaffolds for the modulation of antithrombin anticoagulant activity", In: "XI Congreso de la Sociedad de Biofísica de España", Book of abstracts ISBN 978-84-694-3422-2, pp 217 (2011).

"No hay problemas pequeños. Los problemas que parecen pequeños son grandes problemas que aun no se entienden."

"There are no small problems. Problems that appear small are large problems that are not yet understood."

Santiago Ramón y Cajal

Spanish Physician 1906 Nobel Prize in Physiology



"small problem" → molecular surface calculation "large problem" → accuracy and speed

INTRODUCTION

METHODOLOGY

RESULTS

CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

Calculation of the list of neighbours



Unburied surface of each atom depends only on overlapping with neighbours

Each atomic surface -> grid generation

Lebedev Grid of Degree 590



590 points lebedev grid with matlab

Lebedev, V. I, and Laikov, D.N. A quadrature formula for the sphere of the 131st algebraic order of accuracy. Doklady Mathematics 59, 477–481 (1999).

Individual atomic surface integration

1) Procedure is done for all grid points of atom i; we will have n nonburied grid points

2) Individual SASA for this atom will be calculated according to a (n/72) fraction of the sphere surface.



Molecular surface visualization

- MURCIA has also the abilty to generate files with the information of grids coordinates in order to be used in molecular graphics programs like Pymol.
- We can check the generated grid points and the calculed out points



Overlapping of atomic grids



Unburied grid



GPU Architecture overview

NVIDIA Tesla C1060 GPU with 240 Streaming processors



CUDA: NVIDIA. NVIDIA CUDA Programming Guide 4. (2011).

SASA on GPU: grid generation





Each thread computes a particular atomic grid point on a streaming processor (240)

SASA on GPU: neighbours





Each thread computes a list of neighbours for each atom

SASA on GPU: Unburied points



Each thread computes whether each grid point is buried or not, and stores its index

INTRODUCTION

METHODOLOGY

RESULTS

CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

Accuracy

Comparison with POWERSASA (analytical, Klenin 2011) and MURCIA

- Figure shows an overall good concordance between both methods
- POWERSASA uses a very accurate method for the calculation of SASA



SASA values comparison

Klenin, K.V., Tristram, F., Strunk, T. and Wenzel, W. Derivatives of molecular surface area and volume: Simple and exact analytical formulas. J Comput Chem 32, 2647–2653 (2011).

Speed

Comparison of timings for SASA calculation using MURCIA and POWERSASA

- In terms of performance, in the interval of 10 to 17000 atoms, MURCIA runs faster than POWERSASA, achieving maximum speedups of 15X.
- We have also checked that MURCIA runs around 30X times faster than MSMS (Sanner 1996).



• Sanner, M.F., Olson, A.J. and Spehner, J.C. Reduced surface: an efficient way to compute molecular surfaces. Biopolymers 38, 305–320 (1996).

INTRODUCTION

METHODOLOGY

RESULTS

CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

Conclusions and future work

- We have developed a fast and efficient method on GPU hardware for:
 - SASA calculation, used in implicit solvation models
 - accelerated visualization of molecular surfaces (VMD, Chimera, Pymol)
- The method is not yet optimal and there are several improvements we are working on:
 - Influence of different grids strategies on accuracy
 - better strategy for neighbour's list: \rightarrow 100X faster
- Implemented in the fast blind Virtual Screening program BINDSURF
- Application to:
 - General Born electrostatics
 - Quantum Chemistry codes

Acknowledgments

Group members

- Irene Sánchez Linares
- Ginés D. Guerrero
- Eduardo Cepas-Quiñonero
- Horacio Pérez-Sánchez
- José M. Cecilia
- José M. García

Further questions, discussion, etc: → Come by! → horacio@ditec.um.es

Funding

- European Commission FEDER
- Spanish Ministry of Science (Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia, MEC)
- Fundación Séneca Región de Murcia
- University of Murcia







